Acta Cryst. (1948). 1, 337

The determination of the unit-cell dimensions of non-cubic substances. By G. E. Bacon. Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell, Didcot, England

(Received 11 June 1948 and in revised form 15 October 1948)

A graphical extrapolation method for the accurate determination of the unit-cell dimensions of cubic substances from high-angle reflexions on a powder photograph was described by Bradley & Jay (1932). More recently Nelson & Riley (1945) and Taylor & Sinclair (1945) have described graphical plots which are linear down to quite small values of θ . These often permit of extension to non-cubic substances, since a number of reflexions from each of the planes 100, 010 and 001 may occur within the range of θ values which is covered. Alternatively, analytical methods, such as described by Cohen (1935), are available for non-cubic substances. The present note describes a graphical method of procedure which can be used with non-cubic substances when only one line in a main zone is suitable for accurate measurement.

The principle of this method is that the substance under investigation is mixed with some cubic substance giving a reasonable number of lines which do not overlap those of the first substance. Extrapolation of the a values calculated for each of the cubic lines permits the determination of a correction factor for any position on the film. This correction can then be applied to the measured spacing for any line of the non-cubic substance, since, using the mixture technique, the effect of absorption and camera eccentricity will be the same, at a given θ value, for both substances. Using a camera calibrated by direct measurement it is not necessary to assume any knowledge of the spacing of the mixture substance.

Table 1 shows the results of measurements made to determine the cell dimensions of BeO, using NaCl as the cubic substance, in a 19 cm. camera. Spacings are given in Ångström units, assuming λ for Cu $K\alpha_1 = 1.54050$ A.

Table 1. Results of measurements on cell dimensions of BeO

Reflecting		\mathbf{Inter}	\boldsymbol{a}	4.		
plane		$oldsymbol{ heta}$	planar	\mathbf{for}	Cor-	Corrected
ئے		for	spacing	\mathbf{NaCl}	rection spacing	
NaCl	\mathbf{BeO}	Cu $K\alpha_1$	in A.	in A.	factor	in A.
440		50.638°	0.99624	5.6356	_	
531		5 3 ·951°	0.95267	5.6361		
_	$20\bar{2}3$	57·678°	0.91148			
620		59·791°	0.89129	5.6370		
_	$21\overline{3}0$	60·766°	0.88267		1.00042	0.88304
	$21\overline{3}1$	62.885°	0.86536			
622	_	64.998°	0.84989	5.6375		
	$10\bar{1}5$	69·991°	0.81973		1.00022	0.81992
444	_	71·163°	0.81384	5.6384		
117		77.293°	0.78959	5.6388		
460	_	80.039°	0.78204	5.6394	_	
	$30\bar{3}0$	81.516°	0.77877		1.00005	0.77881

The a values for NaCl were plotted against

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\sin \theta} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\theta} \right)$$

and from the extrapolated value the correction factors shown were determined in turn for each of the BeO lines $10\overline{15}$, $21\overline{30}$ and $30\overline{30}$. From the last two reflexions independent values of a for BeO were obtained and these values, for four independent pairs of films, are given in the first two lines of Table 2.

Table 2. Cell dimensions of BeO

(Values in A.)

Film numbers	1	2	3	4
a from 21 $\overline{3}$ 0 and NaCl	2.6977	$2 \cdot 6978$	2.6978	2.6975
a from $30\overline{3}0$ and NaCl	2.6979		2.6977	2.6977
a from extrapolation of	2.6978	2.6978	2.6977	2.6977
2130, 30 <u>3</u> 0				
$c \text{ from } 10\overline{1}5$	4.3778	4.3780	4.3780	4.3777

In this particular case it is also possible to do a two-point zone extrapolation of a values from $21\overline{30}$ and $30\overline{30}$, and the extrapolated value of a is given in line 3 of Table 2 for comparison. It is pointed out that the large θ value for $30\overline{30}$ makes this plane largely determine the extrapolation.

The value of the c dimension for BeO, given in Table 2, is determined from the 10T5 reflexion, as there is no suitable 000l reflexion. If d is the 10T5 spacing, it can be 25 1 4

shown that $\frac{25}{c^2} = \frac{1}{d^2} - \frac{4}{3a^2}$, and the two terms on the right-

hand side of this expression are in the ratio of about 8:1. Hence the previously determined value of a can be used to obtain what is largely an independent value of c.

All the above measurements were made at a temperature of 21° C., maintained to $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ ° C. during the exposure.

The above note is published by permission of the Director of the Atomic Energy Research Establishment. The writer wishes to acknowledge the assistance of Mr S. A. Wilson in the taking of the X-ray photographs.

References

Bradley, A. J. & Jay, A. H. (1932). Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. 44, 563.

COHEN, M. U. (1935). Rev. Sci. Instrum. 6, 68.

NELSON, J. B. & RILEY, D. P. (1945). Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. 57, 160.

TAYLOR, A. & SINCLAIR, H. (1945). Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. 57, 126.